•			LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.			
FISCAL	☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDA	TED	LRB 3042/1			
ESTIMATE	Партистр Пауру		SB 154			
DOA-2048	☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPI	EMENTAL	Amendment No. if Applicable			
N(R10/94)						
Subject PECFA						
Fiscal Effect		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation			☐ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No			
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	☐ Increase Existing Revenu	ies	,			
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation	☐ Decrease Existing Reven	ues				
☐ Create New Appropriation		☐ Decrease Costs				
Local: No Local Government Costs		- -				
1. Increase Costs	3.		5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:			
1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	Permissive		☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities			
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease Revenue	, -				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐] Mandatory				
		A CC . A T CT.	☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts			
Fund Sources Affected	⊠ SEG □ SEG-S	1 -	20 Appropriations			
		APP. 143 (V) & (W)			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal I	Estimate					
In determining that no fiscal impact occurs from this bill, the following assumptions have been utilized:						
1. The department is asked to develop additional record keeping systems on dollars expended in remediations but not yet claimed, consulting firm activity and the costs associated with consultant and commodity services under PECFA. In addition, the department is asked to develop tracking systems on remediation progress and the cost(s) and time to complete each remediation. The current proposed biennial budget bill contains a funding initiative for the IT activities associated with this work. If the budget bill is passed with this funding in place, additional funding would not be needed as long as the department is allowed flexibility in the determination of the level of detail and the exact data elements that must be collected in order to meet the requirements of the statute.						
2. The department will first attempt to accomplish the process changes detailed in the bill by shifting work activities and modifying work systems. The system changes suggested in the bill focus on both the claim review and hydrogeologic sides of the PECFA program. Efforts will be made to emphasize the work processes detailed in the bill and reduce work time associated with other program duties. Final fiscal impact of the changes, however, can only be gauged after actual implementation of the work systems in order to know the impact of changes. This is necessary to fully know and understand the impact changes like the prioritization of sites (for starting dates) and joint decision making will have on the total workload of the program.						
Long-Range Fiscal Implications						
Not determined at this time.						
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phon	e No.) Authorized Sig	nature/Telenhor	ne No. / Date ,			
	Authorized Oil		- (-) - 1,7/99			
Wisconsin Department of Commerce William J. Morrissey	Louis Cornellu 2 CC	2000 O	ne No. Lun Conseller 5/17/99			
(608) 266-7605	(608) 267-957 3	0000				

1999 Session

1999 Session

	57 ODICDIAL		LKB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.	Amendment No.	
FISCAL ESTIMATE	☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL		LRB 3042/1		
DOA-2048 N(R10/94)	☐ CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	SB 154		
Subject PECFA					
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State and/or Local Go	overnment (do not include in a	nnualized fiscal effect):		
NA	· .				
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
(FTE Position Changes)			(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs				-	
Local Assistance				-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category			\$ NA	-NA	
. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
FED				•	
PRO/PRS				-	
SEG/SEG-S			NA	-NA	
I. State Revenues - Complete this only w revenues (e.g., tax incre	vhen proposal will increas ase, decrease in license fe	se or decrease state e, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes			\$	-	
GPR Earned				-	
FED				-	
PRO/PRS				-	
SEG/SEG-S				-	
TOTAL State Revenues			\$NA	\$ -NA	
	NET	ANNUALIZED FISCAL IM	PACT		
		STATE		LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$NA	\$NA		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	<u></u>	\$NA	\$NA		
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Ph	none No)	Authorized Signature/Te	elephone No.	Daţe	
Wisconsin Department of Comme William J. Morrissey		Louis Cornelius	Jour Correlui	~ 5/17	
(608) 266-7605		(608) 267-9573	•		